UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for t	he				
Eastern District of Virginia					
United States of America v. Wilbert T. Bouldin Defendant) Case No. 4:22cr13-03				
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL					
Part I - Eligibility for Detention					
Upon the					
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to Motion of the Government or Court's own motion.					
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in	warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact addition to any other findings made at the hearing.				
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law a	as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)				
and the community because the following conditions ha (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following conditions in the following conditions has the community of the following conditions in the following conditions has a crime of violence, a violation of 18 Using 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence.	ons will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ve been met: owing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): o.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. om of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or				
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 8 (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of	01-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or				
(d) any felony if such person has been conv (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of	victed of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal of such offenses; or				
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a f	of violence but involves: irearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and				

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
 ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment ☐ Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Case 4:22-cr-00013-AWA-RJK Document 18 Filed 03/18/22 Page 3 of 3 PageID# 50

☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
□ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
⊠ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

The Court stated the reasons for detention at the hearing, and the record is available in the event of an appeal. The nature and circumstances of the crimes the defendant is charged with are serious. During the course of his alleged offenses, the defendant used social security cards and licenses belonging to other persons to utter false checks and defraud banks, which he was allegedly caught with upon his arrest. The defendant also has allegedly attempted to flee from law enforcement four times during the course of the investigation of this case, beginning on October 30, 2020, when he successfully fled from a bank he was attempting to defraud, then in January 2021 when he successfully fled while driving his fiancé's car, then in May 2021 he attempted to flee when was arrested on state charges, and finally he tried unsuccessfully to flee from law enforcement when he was arrested for the charges he currently faces. At the time of his arrest, he and another person were leaving the defendant's fiancé's house, and had in their possession a gun and individually wrapped bags of marijuana. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is strong given the alleged existence of a videotaped confession from the defendant and statements from a co-conspirator. The defendant's history and characteristics also weigh in favor of detention. The defendant has several felony convictions somewhat related to the charges he currently faces, including three counts of obtaining a credit card number to commit larceny, as well as one count of grand larceny in 2016, and a felon in possession of a gun in 2019. He also has several relevant misdemeanor convictions related to his history of failure to appear. In 2018, he was convicted of possession of marijuana in absentia. In 2019, he was convicted of a probation violation. In 2021, he allegedly failed to appear at a revocation hearing and failed to appear to face felony forgery and uttering charges, resulting in outstanding warrants for his arrest from the Hanover and Henrico County Circuit Courts. One of the alleged grounds for revocation was based on a violation report for failing to obey instructions and absconding. Although the defendant has ties to the community and appears to have worked for a temp agency for several years, his mother is allegedly not willing to serve as a third-party custodian, although his fiancé is. However, there are questions as to her suitability because defendant was allegedly arrested right outside his fiancé's residence. Therefore, the Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions exist that will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance at trial, and therefore orders the defendant detained.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		27K	
Date:	03/18/2022	/s/ Robert J. Krask	
Duto.		United States Magistrate Judge	